From: Craig Campbell

**Sent:** Mon, 8 Feb 2021 18:49:03 +1100 (AEDT)

To: Angus Llewellyn

Cc: Tasha Smithies ; Peter Meakin

Farley ; Sarah Thornton ; Myles

Subject: Re: Sam update

Hey Team,

Let's chat tomorrow about timing. There is also a long cut WIP available in the morning.

If we go first, I would think Sam's story is worthless, the original approach I believe was we would go after her. Once we run everyone else will be all over it.

If she is not naming the perpetrator does that ease our concerns?

I am not worried editorially of going 2nd, it will only build the hype for us having the only interview with the woman at the centre of it all, and solves our promo issue.

I'll have Brad set up a meeting.

C.

Craig Campbell Creator / Executive Producer



Level 4, Como Building, 620 Chapel Street (Cnr Toorak Rd) South Yarra, Victoria, 3141

C:

On 8 Feb 2021, at 6:19 pm, Angus Llewellyn

wrote:

Thanks Tasha.

Myles and I have had a good chat this afternoon. Sam isn't looking to name the alleged perpetrator or even name the office or minister he worked for.

Just reposting my points given I neglected to include everyone to begin with so no one has to scroll down further:

- Sam is planning on publishing this coming Monday 15th. She sees no point going earlier as Monday is the start of a two week sitting period.
- Sam thinks Sunday might be best to approach the various parties and individuals for comment. She
  reckons if we ask on Monday, given it is the start of a sitting week, an excuse could be raised that
  they're too flat out.

• She isn't planning on naming the alleged perpetrator - she'll just call him a "liberal staffer." She's not even naming the office the alleged perp worked in or the minister involved as she thinks other	
staffers could be identified that way. CLP /	
just said we're not naming him either.	
<ul> <li>In our discussion Sam said the story is "essentially her story" and did not mention any of the APH/AFP issues that we are also looking at.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>I mentioned our lawyers may need to talk to her lawyers, but not for any specific reasons that I outlined.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>I mentioned that Craig may give her a call, but I was just checking all the facts so he was fully briefed.</li> </ul>	
On 8 Feb 2021, at 5:46 pm, Tasha Smithies wrote:	
CLP	
Tasha Smithies Senior Litigation Counsel	
VIACOMCBS	
OCBS WIME A nickelodeon C GENTRAL BETA	
ALL ACCESS PLUTO® SCHUSTER 5 © colors	
1 Saunders Street Pyrmont Sydney NSW Australia 2009 t. m.	
On 8 Feb 2021, at 5:43 pm, Angus Llewellyn	
Lisa still thinks we are looking at airing on Sunday. I've not mentioned Monday to her as such.	
I didn't specifically ask Sam who she would be approaching but I gathered she would be approaching those ministers' offices - but not naming them publicly.	
On 8 Feb 2021, at 4:55 pm, Peter Meakin wrote:	
Are we happy to commit to a Monday air date? We all know Lisa had her heart set on Sunday.	
From: Craig Campbell	
Sent: Monday, 8 February 2021 4:46 PM	
To: Angus Llewellyn ; Sarah Thornton	

; Myles Farley

; Tasha Smithies

Cc: Peter Meakin

Subject: Re: Sam update
Thanks Angus,
Looping in Sarah Thornton.
Craig Campbell
Creator / Executive Producer
<image001.png>

Level 4, Como Building, 620 Chapel Street (Cnr Toorak Rd) South Yarra, Victoria, 3141 T: C:

On 8 Feb 2021, at 4:37 pm, Angus Llewellyn

wrote:

Hi Craig, Peter, Myles and Tasha
I just had a brief chat to Sam M about our upcoming story and plans for this week.

- Sam is planning on publishing this coming Monday 15th. She sees no point going earlier as Monday is the start of a two week sitting period.
- Sam thinks Sunday might be best to approach the various parties and individuals for comment. She reckons if we ask on Monday, given it is the start of a sitting week, an excuse could be raised that they're too flat
- She isn't planning on naming the alleged perpetrator she'll just call him a "liberal staffer." She's not even naming the office the alleged perp worked in or the minister involved as she thinks other staffers could be identified that way.

  | CLP | I just said we're not naming him either.

 In our discussion Sam said the story is "essentially her story" and did not mention any of the APH/AFP issues that we are also looking at.

- I mentioned our lawyers may need to talk to her lawyers, but not for any specific reasons that I outlined.
- I mentioned that Craig may give her a call, but I was just checking all the facts so he was fully briefed.

cheers Angus Network Ten Pty Ltd ABN 91 052 515 250 Disclaimer This e-mail (including all attachments) is intended solely for the named addressee. If you receive it in error, please let us know by reply e-mail, delete it from your system and destroy the copies. This e-mail is also subject to copyright. No part of it should be reproduced, adapted or transmitted without the written consent of the copyright owner. E-mails may be interfered with, may contain computer viruses or other defects and may not be successfully replicated on other systems. We give no warranties in relation to these matters. If you have any doubts about the authenticity of an e-mail purportedly sent by us, please contact us immediately.

Network Ten Pty Ltd ABN 91 052 515 250 Disclaimer This e-mail (including all attachments) is intended solely for the named addressee. If you receive it in error, please let us know by reply e-mail, delete it from your system and destroy the copies. This e-mail is also subject to copyright. No part of it should be reproduced, adapted or transmitted without the written consent of the copyright owner. E-mails may be interfered with, may contain computer viruses or other defects and may not be successfully replicated on other systems. We give no warranties in relation to these matters. If you have any doubts about the authenticity of an e-mail purportedly sent by us, please contact us immediately.