

PNG Centre of Judicial Excellence LEARNING ACTIVITY

Remote Training of Trainers Workshop 17-21 August 2020

Curricula Development: Attitude "A"

Instructions: Read the three case studies below (written by Dr Livingston Armytage) and working with a partner, determine whether the Justice has acted ethically. Your answer should identify which of the *Bangalore Principles* requires discussion and whether this conduct is consistent or not consistent with the relevant principle. Give reasons for your answer.

Case Study 1

Because of economic pressures, a judge wants to increase his or her savings before retiring. On weekends, can he or she:

- Undertake a short consultancy with United Nations Development Programme?
- Publish a book of his or her judicial experiences?
- Start a corporate law advisory service?

What is the relevant Bangalore Principle that requires discussion?

Case Study 2

A company your son works at is now involved in a lawsuit assigned to you for a hearing.

Although you have no direct contact with the company and no one knows your son is an employee, how should you handle this?

Is it ethical for you to hear this matter?

What is the relevant Bangalore Principle that requires discussion?

Case Study 3

Many people when charged with a criminal offence cannot afford a lawyer in your court. They don't know their legal rights, nor do they understand how the courts operate. When they confront a very experienced law officer, they are at risk of being unfairly convicted.

What, if anything, should you as judge do?

What is the relevant *Bangalore Principle* that requires discussion?



