

6/05/2023 7:40:46 AM

The email at 6:30 am was not 'without warning'

It was just putting in writing the deadline we all agreed to verbally the night before

I basically called DS bluff, he never had any intention of mediating, just spinning out the time

Ok, thanks for clarifying Moira. BTW, have you got a lawyer in tow?

Are you able to fix that up in your article?

A lawyer with me now?

Kim knows I'm right. But it was because I called DS bluff. He'd agreed to it to my face but got enraged to see it in writing

I need to send you an email

Ok

Ok sent

It's explains the timeline

And the legal issue everyone is interpreting wrong

Got it

No lawyer, as you'll read

When did you write this?

I started on Friday morning when I heard about the expulsion motion

But when I heard they were going after others I was pretty gobsmacked and angry

One other Joumo has it like you, not for publication

It's just to help you understand

Understood Moira.

Thank you

There might be bits you can use from a close source

Off record so I don't confuse you worse lol- yes I have a lawyer bit not for going after the party

As I understand it, there is a dispute between you, David and Kim about whether the 2pm deadline was discussed before your email. A difficulty for you is that, because you weren't directly involved in the Wednesday discussions, you cannot know for sure whether the 2pm deadline was raised on your behalf by Kim. The view of both Kim and the party leadership is that the party was blindsided by the contents of your Thursday morning email. It is a difficult one for me to resolve when everyone is talking to me on background, but it sounds as if this was a unilateral decision on your part: "As I'd been forced to have all my negotiations by proxy thus far, I decided that this time I would make sure I had a record for myself. I sent the agreed deadline, and my proposal to have a legal case prepared for a meeting 'do over' in the event that all else failed, by an email the next morning."

Is that a fair reading of it?

No DS came to the meeting the day before. He said a few dodgy things & even though Kim had told me not to put things in writing to them because they wanted it all verbal but proxy, I just knew DS was going to deny the timeline had been agreed to

The email was def my own decision

But the contents they already knew & agreed to. Then when

Kim predicted DS would deny ever agreeing to it

And Lo & behold he walked in & said he never heard me say it

He said negotiations over. I said they were clearly never real anyway. I was relieved to be off the merry go round.

When you say he walked in, where was that?

There were two meetings one the evening before one the next morning

He was at both

Ok. So just you, DS and KW?

Yep

Kim blames me

And where was the meeting? Kim's office?

But he also knew DS was likely never interested in fulfilling the agreement to that timeline

First meeting my office next morning Kim's

Leadership only ever used the time I worked on reconciliation to plot to get rid of me